UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ALEXANDRIA FERNANDES,

Plaintiff,

-V-

GARY A. HERJO,

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 23 Civ. 3078 (SLC)

**ORDER** 

**SARAH L. CAVE,** United States Magistrate Judge.

Before the Court is Defendant's March 22, 2024 letter concerning his anticipated deposition of "plaintiff's surgeon, Dr. Krosser" (the "Deposition"). (ECF No. 40). Defendant states that he was "contacted by Dr. Krosser's attorney who [] advised earlier this week that Dr. Krosser wants \$5,000 to appear for" the Deposition, which will take place remotely. (Id.) Defendant "believe[s] that that fee is excessive for what should be a 60-to-90-minute deposition[,]" and requests "that the court set a reasonable fee for Dr. Krosser's [D]eposition pursuant to FRCP 26(b)(4)." (Id. (the "Request")).

The Request is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE to renewal, if appropriate, following completion of the Deposition. See Ajasin v. Ortiz, No. 19 Civ. 6814 (RA) (JLC), 2021 WL 1437551, at \*1 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 16, 2021) ("[I]t is well-settled that parties seeking court intervention to determine a reasonable fee for an expert deposition should do so after the deposition, not before it has taken place." (emphasis added)); Conte v. Newsday, Inc., No. 06 Civ. 4859 (JFB) (ETB), 2011 WL 3511071, at \*2 (E.D.N.Y. Aug. 10, 2011) ("The rule and the case law makes it clear that the parties seeking court intervention to determine a reasonable fee for an expert deposition should do so retrospectively—that is, after the deposition has taken place."); see also Johnson v. Spirit

Case 1:23-cv-03078-SLC Document 41 Filed 03/25/24 Page 2 of 2

<u>Airlines, Inc.</u>, No. 07 Civ.1874 (FB) (JO), 2008 WL 1995117 (E.D.N.Y. 2008) (expert witness "may

not insist on advance payment, and may not set a flat fee before he knows what he will be called

upon to do; he may instead charge only a reasonable hourly fee.").

After the Deposition, counsel for Defendant and Dr. Kosser shall meet and confer to agree

on a reasonable fee for the Deposition. If the parties cannot agree, Defendant may renew the

Request, with citations to specific authority to justify the requested fee. See Ajasin, 2021 WL

1437551, at \*2.

Dated:

New York, New York

March 25, 2024

SO ORDERED.

\$ar/ah l. cavé

**United States Magistrate Judge**